

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/984,476	12/03/1997	M MICHAEL WOLFE	34477.2	2213
21874	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			ROMEO, DAVID S	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1647	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/984,476	WOLFE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	David S. Romeo	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensior after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The state of the communication is of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Au	<u>igust 2005</u> .				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Cic	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45				
Disposition	of Claims					
•	Claim(s) 93,95-98 and 105-130 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 93,95-98 and 105-116 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	aim(s) <u>117-122, 124-129</u> is/are rejected.					
	aim(s) <u>118-122 and 127-130</u> is/are objected to		mont			
8) Claim(s) 93,95-98 and 105-130 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	Papers					
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	=	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate vatent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/984,476

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/25/2005 has been entered.

Claims 93, 95–98 and 105–130 are pending. Claims 93, 95–98, 105–116 and 123 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. See the last Office action at page 2, line 5 through page 5, line 2.

Claims 117–122 and 124–130 are being examined.

New Formal Matters, Objections, and/or Rejections:

Double Patenting

Applicant is advised that should claim 118 be found allowable, claim 130 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Objections

Claim 120 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claims 121 and 122 depend from claim 120. Hence, they share this defect in form

Application/Control Number: 08/984,476

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

with claim 120 and are also objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form.

Accordingly, claims 120–122 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 118–122 and 127–130 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The polypeptide with the indicated substitutions (claims 118–122 and 127–130) does not further limit a polypeptide consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO: 5.

Applicants argue that the claims properly depend on claim 117 because they retain the same basic and novel characteristics. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As noted by Applicants, the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. The basic and novel characteristic of claim 117 is SEQ ID NO: 5. Claims 118–122 and 127–130 do not retain SEQ ID NO: 5. Claims 118–122 and 127–130 do not limit the scope of the claims to SEQ ID NO: 5 — the material specified in claim 117.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 124–129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant

Application/Control Number: 08/984,476

Art Unit: 1647

art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Support for the indicated substitutions in claims 124–129 cannot be found in the disclosure as originally filed, which raises the issue of new matter:

5

10

15

Claims 117–122 and 127–130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Applicants use the term "consisting essentially of SEQ ID NO: 5" in claim 117 to mean that substitutions in SEQ ID NO: 5 are permitted, while the accepted meaning is "the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term. Claims 118–122 and 127–130 depend from claim 117 and share this defect with claim 117. Hence, these claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

20

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/984,476

Art Unit: 1647

FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M. IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, BRENDA BRUMBACK, CAN BE REACHED ON (571) 272-0961.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE CENTRAL FAX NUMBER FOR OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE, WHICH IS (571) 273-8300.

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

10

5

DAVID ROMEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

15

DSR NOVEMBER 8, 2005